

FILE CARD ABOUT PALESTINIAN IMMOVABLE CULTURAL HERITAGE NEAR THE "WEST BANK

1. LOCALIZATION			
City/Municipality			
Locality/area			
District			
Localization of the site in relation to the Barrier			
Outside Inside	Closed area		
	N	umber in the p	plan
2. Immovable cult	URAL HERITAGI	E: GENERAL	
Site name			
Absolute Chronology	Relative Chrono	ology	
Site Typology: a. Complex/Religious Construction:	Yes	No	
b. Complex/Civil Construction:	Yes	No	_
c. Complex/Private Construction:	Yes	No	
d. Archeological site:	Yes	No	
e. Environmental site:	Yes	No	
Cultural identity:			
Conservation activities before the building of th	ne "Barrier":	Yes	No
Presence of movable cultural heritage (included local culture):		iture/personal Yes	

3. IMMOVABLE CULTURAL HERITAGE
Site Description:
Historical description:
Bibliography:

4. News on damages				
I. External damage:		Yes	No	
a. "Direct" damage produced by:				
1. Destruction by bulldozer:		Yes	No	
2. Vibrations caused by traffic of heavy magnetic and the second	achine:			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		No_		
4. Excavations (by spade, picks etc.):			 No	
5. Illegal excavations:			 No	
6. Incompatible "conservation" activities			No	
7. Other:			No	
b. "Indirect" damages produced by:				
1. Environmental impact over the heritage	: Yes	No		
2. Impossibility of carrying out conserva				
heritage due to closure:		No_		
3. Other:				
			_	
c. Condition of neglect before Israeli occupation/c	onstruc	tion of "Barri	ier":	
Yes No Partially				
II. Internal damages: Yes No				
<u> </u>				
a. Vandalism: b. Fire:	c. Othe	er:		
III. Assumed responsible (direct or indirect) for damages.	thefts:			
IV. Involvement in the damage of adjacent buildings to the	ne monu	ıment (risk of	f driven damage)	
V. Surrounding situation (gardens, courtyards, streets, etc.	c.):			
VI. Future damages:				
a. Accessibility to the site	Yes_	No_		
b. Possible Palestinian management of the site		No_		
c. Demographic pressure to the site		No_		
d. Incompatible land use	Yes_	No_		

5. DESCRIPTIONS OF DAMAGES

I. External damages:

- a. Extensive cracks:
- b. Concentrated cracks:
- c. Degradation of material:
- d. General evaluation of risk of collapse:

II. Internal damages:

- a. Extensive cracks:
- b. Concentrated cracks:
- c. Degradation of material:
- d. General evaluation of risk of collapse:

III. Surrounding damages (gardens, courtyards, streets, etc.):

- a. presence of military installations (fixed and/or mobile)
- b. presence of dumping grounds near the cultural heritage

IV. Problems linked to sustainable tourism:

- a. direct risks for tourist over exposure
- b. bad or wrong use of traditional architectonic structures
- c. use of new building (especially if not compatible with historical, cultural and social local characteristics)
- d. use of material/equipment incompatible with historical, cultural and social local characteristics)
- e. exploitation of local personnel (of whatever specialization and specific preparation to the work)
- f. possible involvement of foreign people/groups (tour operators, investors, etc.)

6. NEWS ABOUT THE BUILDING OF THE "BARRIER"		
a. Presence, during the excavations and/or buil Antiquities: Yes No	lding, of representatives of Palestinian Ministry of	
b. Presence, during the excavations and/or b Antiquities: Yes No	ouilding, of representatives of Israeli Ministry of	
c. Presence of local and/or military police to preveneritage looting: Yes No	ent possible archaeological plundering and/or cultural	
d. Presence, in the immovable cultural heritage Convention and 1972 Unesco Convention:	ge, of the symbol/s foreseen by the 1954 Hague Yes No	
7. SOURCES OF INFORMATION	8. DOCUMENTATION	
a. Written	a. Pictures/videos by filler: Yes No	
b. Local authorities	b. Pictures/videos by others: Yes No	
c. Civil	c. Documents obtained on the monument:	
d. Witness:		
1. Name		
2. Surname		
3. Address		
4. Town		
5. Reliability		
6. Availability to testify:		

9. OBSERVATIONS

Filler:

Date of survey: